

Ethical Policy

The publication of a scientific article grounds on honesty, responsibility, and integrity. Research misconduct and dishonesty do immeasurable harm to a good relation between academic community and normal society. Every step in the publishing process potentially involves ethical principles. All types of academic players (publisher, author, reviewer, and editor) should conduct their roles in line with the best practices and ethical guideline of the organization they belong to.

Authors

- Authorship should be limited to those who have contributed substantially to work written in the manuscript. Substantial contribution includes formulating of key hypothesis, setting of experimental system, data acquisition, data analysis and interpretation, and writing of manuscript.
- The corresponding author should acknowledge credit of authorship to all authors before manuscript submission. All authors should understand their credit of authorship and bear responsibility for the paper. Authors should keep the data (primary, raw, processed) to meet the need when scientific dishonesty issue arises.
- Add or remove an author from coauthors is not allowed after submission unless acceptable reason is provided. Especially, the corresponding author should submit the agreement from the author who is removed from the author list.
- The authors should provide sufficient detail on the experimental setup, method, chemicals, and materials to permit the third party to repeat the work.
- The authors should cite publications that have influenced in preparing the manuscript; especially for original publications that reported closely related contents.
- The authors are responsible for getting permission to reproduce tables, photos, and figures from the copyright owner. If the necessary materials appear in IJPEST, permission is not necessary.
- According to the author guideline, an author can suggest possible reviewers for the manuscript at the time of submission. However, the person who are in conflict of interest should not be included in the possible reviewers.
- Acknowledgments contains expression of gratitude to individuals, institutions, or funding sources. Grant number related to the work being reported can also included in acknowledgment. Person(s) who assisted and/or helped that authors can be acknowledged. The honorary titles such as Dr, professor, etc. are acceptable but not for the Mr, Mrs, Miss etc. Only those who are not in the list of authors can be thanked and acknowledged.
- Authors are also not allowed to thank reviewers personally. However, reviewers can be thanked in the acknowledgment if they are kept anonymous.

- It is permissible to submit a manuscript for a “regular paper” expanding on a previously published short communication (or “letter”) of the same work. However, it should guarantee the potential of the paper to merit acceptance. An author should notice editor for the previous publication at the time of manuscript submission, and the “communication/letter” should be cited in the manuscript. It should be distinguished from duplicate publications which are never acceptable.
- In case that the authors notice an error in their published paper, they should promptly notify IJPEST office and cooperate with the assigned editor to retract or correct the paper. If the changes are minor (typos and grammatical issues that will not affect the content of the paper) we can fix them without publishing a corrigendum. If the changes affect the content of the published paper, the authors should submit short manuscript of corrigendum. The authors should clarify that the changes are free from academic dishonesty issues. After review by editorial board members, it will be published as corrigendum.
- **Plagiarism** or self-plagiarism is a strong violation of academic ethics. When plagiarism becomes evident for a paper after publication, the Editorial Board of IJPEST will examine the details and may retract the paper depending on its degree.
- **Fabrication** and **falsification** are also important academic dishonesty. When these issues are reported for those papers after publication, the Editorial Board of IJPEST will examine the details and may **retract** the paper.

Editors and Reviewers

- Editors and reviewers should give unbiased evaluation to all manuscripts submitted for publication in IJPEST.
- An editor can reject the manuscript without peer-review if the manuscript fails to fit the scope of the IJPEST, English expression, adequate interpretation of data, interest to the community, and scientific quality.
- When a manuscript can invoke a conflict of interest to an editor, he/she should arrange for other qualified editor to take editorial responsibility for that manuscript.
- To avoid any conflicts of interest in the peer-review process, he/she should not accept an invitation to review a manuscript for those papers (co-)authored by a person(s) whom the reviewer has a professional relationship that may bias evaluation of the manuscript.
- Both of the document and the information in the assigned manuscript should be treated as confidential and should not be disclosed to a third party. Editors and reviewers should not use unpublished materials reported in a submitted manuscript in their own work.
- Anonymity of reviewers should be guaranteed, which is an important ingredient of peer-review process.